

*IEB at 50:
a scientific timeline*



*Alcalá de Henares, 12 September 2013,
Jonathan Ashmore*

50th
**Inner Ear Biology
Workshop**

*When the Inner Ear Biochemistry Workshop started in 1964, we
knew of giants who had given us some the key ideas. They included*

Alfonso Corti

Robert Barany

Georg von Békésy

Halliwel Davis

and many others.....

What science had happened before the workshop started?

Elsewhere we had seen the birth of molecular biology

no. 650 April 25, 1953

NATURE
757

MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF NUCLEIC ACIDS A Structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid

WE wish to suggest a structure for the salt of deoxyribose nucleic acid (DNA). This structure has novel features, which are of considerable biological interest.

A structure for nucleic acid has already been proposed by Pauling and Corey.¹ They kindly made their manuscript available to us in advance of publication. Their model consists of five intertwined chains, each with the phosphate near the fibre axis, and the bases on the outside. In our opinion, this structure is unsatisfactory for two reasons.

(1) We believe that the material which gives the X-ray diagrams is the salt, not the free acid. Without the acidic hydrogen atoms it is not clear what forces would hold the structure together, especially as the negatively charged phosphates near the axis will repel each other. (2) None of the salt ion Watson distance appear to be local.

Another three-chain structure has also been suggested by Fraser in the press. In his model the phosphates lie on the outside and the bases on the inside, linked together by hydrogen bonds. This structure is described a rather ill-defined, and for this reason we shall not comment on it.

We wish to put forward a radically different structure for the salt of deoxyribose nucleic acid. This structure has the helical chain axis could include the same ion (see diagram). We have made the usual chemical assumptions, namely, that each chain consists of phosphate groups, giving 2'-5' linkages.

Each chain consists of phosphate groups, giving 2'-5' linkages. The two chains that are not hydrogen-bonded to each other are perpendicular to the fibre axis. Both chains follow right-handed helices, but owing to the dyad symmetry of the structure the two chains run in opposite directions.

Each chain loosely resembles Farber's model No. 1, that is, the bases are on the inside of the helix and the phosphates on the outside. The configuration of the sugar and the atoms near it is close to Farber's standard configuration, the sugar being roughly perpendicular to the attached base. There is a residual c.c. each chain every 2.4 Å, in the z-direction. We have assumed an angle of 10° between adjacent residues in the same chain, so that the structure repeats after 10 residues on each chain, that is, after 24 Å. The distance of a phosphate atom from the fibre axis is 10 Å. As the phosphates are on the outside, carbon-hydrogen atoms lie in them.

The structure is an open one, and is rather compact in other ways. At lower water contents we would expect the bases to lie so close that the structure could become itself collapsed.

The local feature of the structure is the manner in which the two chains are held together by the hydrogen bond, pyrimidine bases. The planes of the bases are perpendicular to the fibre axis. They are joined together in pairs, a single base from one chain being hydrogen-bonded to a single base from the other chain, so

that the two lie side by side with identical *anti*-orientations. One of the planes of the bases and the other is perpendicular to the fibre axis. The hydrogen bonds are made as follows: purine position 1 to pyrimidine position 1, purine position 6 to pyrimidine position 6.

If it is assumed that the bases only occur in the structure in the most plausible tautomeric forms (that is, with the keto rather than the enol configuration) it is found that only specific pairs of bases can bond together. These pairs are adenine (purine) with thymine (pyrimidine), and guanine (purine) with cytosine (pyrimidine). In other words, if an adenine forms one member of a pair, no other chain, then on these assumptions the other member must be thymine, similarly for guanine and cytosine. The sequence of bases on a single chain, does not appear to be restricted in any way. However, if only specific pairs of bases can be formed, it follows that if the sequence of bases on one chain is given, then the sequence on the other chain is automatically determined.

It has been found experimentally^{2,3} that the ratio of the amounts of adenine to thymine, and the ratio of guanine to cytosine, are always very close to unity for deoxyribose nucleic acid. It is probable impossible to build this structure with a choice sugar in place of the deoxyribose, as the extra oxygen atom would make too close a van der Waals contact.

The previously published X-ray data^{4,5} on deoxyribose nucleic acid are insufficient for a rigorous test of our structure. As far as we can tell, it is roughly compatible with the experimental data, but it must be regarded as improved until a has been checked against more exact results. Some of these are given in four following communications. We were not aware of the details of the results presented there when we devised our structure, which seems almost though not entirely in agreement with experimental data.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.


It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.

It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material.



"It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying method for the genetic material."

Elsewhere: we had seen the birth of cellular biophysics



Alan Hodgkin and Andrew Huxley

J. Physiol 1952






Fig. 3:16. Squid axon membrane current densities after changes of potential from the resting potential as shown.




As Jochen Schacht describes, Sigurd Rauch convened the first workshop to enable a research interface between clinicians and biochemists:



Sigurd Rauch

Invitation: 1st Workshop
November 6 & 7, 1964

To be followed in 1965 by a 2nd Workshop on Inner Ear Biochemistry



Topics



Mucopolysaccharides in the inner ear

Inner ear fluids:
composition, secretion and absorption

Membrane problems:
electron microscopy and electrophysiology

Ototoxicity:
pharmacology and pathology

How the 1965 discussions were divided up



*How has inner ear biology developed?
Here are (some!) enabling technologies*

1950s -	Electron microscopy
1960s -	Recording from nerves and cells (1976 – low noise patch clamp)
1965	Fluorescence microscopy
1972	Monoclonal antibodies
1989	GFP and other probes
Early 1980s	Commercial confocal microscopes (2002 - commercial multiphoton microscopes)
1980s	Interferometric position sensors

And now? Molecular biology kits + Sequencing facilities +PCs

The 1960s and '70s : What was happening?

- 1962 Electron microscopy of the cochlea (Engstrom, Wersall, Flock)
- 1965 Recording from auditory nerve (Kiang / Rose)
- 1969 Mechanics: resonance of the basilar membrane? (Huxley)
- 1970s Nerve tracing methods (Spendlin and others)
- 1973 Single hair cell microphonics (Flock)
- 1971 Tuning in the basilar membrane? (Rhode)
- 1974 Ototoxic damage to outer hair cells (Dallos)
- 1977 Contractile proteins in stereocilia (Flock)

1964 1st Workshop on Inner Ear Biochemistry



1968 5th Workshop on Inner Ear Biology




1978: Seefeld, Austria

*Let's have a look at
some of the early
meetings:*

50th Inner Ear Biology Workshop 2013

1978 : 15th Workshop on Inner Ear Biology




10h20	ZECHNER, G. (Wien, Austria) Zum Problem des Innenohrhydrops.
10h40	PAUSE
11h00	ANNIKO, M., WERSALL, J. (Stockholm, Sweden) The inner ear and the in vitro system.
11h20	SANS, A., CHAT, M. (Montpellier, France) Ontogenesis of the sensory vestibular cells in the rat: an autoradiographic study.
11h40	PUJOL, R., CARLIER, E., LENOIR, M. (Marseille, France) Abnormal development of the rat cochlea.
12h00	UZIEL, A., ROMAND, R., MAROT, M. (Montpellier, France) Maturation of cochlear potentials in rats.
12h20	LUNCH
13h20	FLÖCK, A. (Stockholm, Sweden) Contractile proteins in stereocilia of inner ear hair cells.
13h40	WILSON, D. R., QUINN, N. S., MÜLLER, M. (Bochum, Germany) Function of different receptor systems in the reptilian labyrinth.
14h00	BLÖKKER, J. D., SEGENHOUT, H. (Groningen, The Netherlands) Sound perception with the labyrinth. A preliminary report.
14h20	TANAKA, Y., YANAGISAWA, K., KATSUKI, Y. (Yokohama, Japan) Electrical potentials in the upper and lower sides of the reticular membrane in guinea pig's cochlea.
14h40	PERSON, A., LEGOUX, J.P., MINOT, J.F. (Paris, France) Relation between the nonlinearity of CM and cochlear fatigue.
15h00	PAUSE
15h20	CARLBORG, B., DENSERT, O., STAGG, J. (Malmö, Sweden) Perilymphatic pressure in the cat.
15h40	DE BOER, E. (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) Cochlear mechanics.
16h00	KEMP, D. T. (London, Great Britain) Evidence of frequency selective wave amplification in the cochlea.

10 MINUTES : LECTURES
10 MINUTES : DISCUSSIONS

Seefeld, IEB 1978: Contractile proteins in hair cell stereocilia




Ake Flock



Flock and Cheung, J Cell Biol 1977

1978 : 15th Workshop on Inner Ear Biology



10h20	: ZECHNER, G. (Wien, Austria) Zum Problem des Innenohrhydrops.
10h40	: PAUSE
11h00	: ANNIKO, M., WERSÄLL, J. (Stockholm, Sweden) The inner ear and the in vitro system.
11h20	: SANS, A., CHAT, M. (Montpellier, France) Ontogenesis of the sensory vestibular cells in the rat: an autoradiographic study.
11h40	: PUJOL, R., CARLIER, E., LENOIR, M. (Marseille, France) Abnormal development of the rat cochlea.
12h00	: UZIEL, A., ROMAND, R., MAROT, M. (Montpellier, France) Maturation of cochlear potentials in rats.
12h20	: LUNCH
13h20	: FLOCK, A. (Stockholm, Sweden) Contractile proteins in stereocilia of inner ear hair cells.
13h40	: TRINCKER, D. E., KHAN, N. S., MÜLLER ARNECKE, H. (Bochum, Germany) Function of different receptor systems in the reptilian labyrinth.
14h00	: BLEEKER, J. D., SEGENHOUT, H. (Groningen, The Netherlands) Sound perception with the labyrinth. A preliminary report.
14h20	: TANAKA, Y., YANAGISAWA, K., KATSUKI, Y. (Yokohama, Japan) Electrical potentials in the upper and lower sides of the reticular membrane in guinea pig's cochlea.
14h40	: PERSON, A., LEGOUX, J.P., MINOT, J.F. (Paris, France) Relation between the nonlinearity of CM and cochlear fatigue.
15h00	: PAUSE
15h20	: CARLBORG, B., DENSERT, O., STAGG, J. (Malmö, Sweden) Perilymphatic pressure in the cat.
15h40	: DE GIER, E. (Amsterdam, The Netherlands)
16h00	: KEMP, D. T. (London, Great Britain) Evidence of frequency selective wave amplification in the cochlea.
10 MINUTES : LECTURES 10 MINUTES : DISCUSSIONS	

Seefeld IEB 1978: The 'Kemp Echo'

The Royal National Throat, Nose & Ear Hospital

CHARTERED
MR A. S. WATKIN, C.B.E., M.A.
HONORARY CLINICAL PROFESSOR
F.R.C.S. (L.S.), F.R.C.S. (E.N.T.)



GRAY'S INN ROAD,
LONDON, WC1X 8DA
Telephone: 01-437 8355

ABSTRACT

Evidence for frequency selective wave amplification in the cochlea.


D.T. KEMP.

Wave amplification by stimulated mechanical energy release will be proposed as a component of the 'second filter' mechanism. The proposal is based on the discovery and investigation of a mechanical evoked response from the cochlea of man as observed using a new technique (Kemp D.T. 1978 'Stimulated acoustic emissions from within the human auditory system'. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. - in press). This technique will be described and the properties of the new phenomenon will be presented and discussed.




THE EVOKED COCHLEAR MECHANICAL RESPONSE -

- 1 Acoustic stimulation of the ear evoked,
- 2 after a delay of from 4 - 20 milliseconds,
- 3 an emission of sound FROM the ear.



How it was measured in the pre-PC world:

Experiment to detect the ECMR



The 1980s: what was happening?

1976 Patch clamp recording methods (Neher & Sakmann)

1981 Embryonic Stem Cells (Martin Evans et al.):

1986 PCR (Mullis)

1976 – In vitro hair cell recording, frog (Hudspeth)

1979 – In vivo Intracellular IHCs (Russell & Sellick)


1982 - Recording from IHCs & OHCs (J.S.-S & Dallos)

1981 - Electrical tuning in turtles (Crawford & Fettiplace)


1983 - Tip links (Pickles et al.)

1984 - Outer hair cells are motile: Brownell et al

Cochlear implants: 1962 (House), 1978 (Clark)....




The idea at the time was that auditory nerve fibres were tuned because there was a 'second filter'. The physiological basis for the filter was unknown.




From Evans 1972 (cf Kiang in Cat 1965)

In 1982 it was announced that the basilar membrane itself is sharply tuned: first presented at IEB Montpellier on a single faxed poster!




"We suggest that most of the frequency response and nonlinear behavior of inner hair cells and afferent fibers may be found in basilar motion".

Sellick, Patuzzi & Johnstone JASA 1982 (published after IEB)





Let's have a look at
some of the early
meetings:

1985 : 22nd Workshop on Inner Ear Biology



135th anniversary of the doctoral thesis of
Corti (published in French at Wurzburg).



How had the range of topics expanded by IEB 22 ?

Here are some session topics:

Development

Morphology


Cell Biology

Ototoxicity

Hair cell recording and cochlear mechanics

General physiology and electrophysiology

Biochemistry – immunology, neuropeptides, collagens



The 1990s and beyond: What was happening?

1989 Hair cell transcription factors, BRN3c/POUF43 (Ryan et al.)

1993 Hair cell regeneration (Forge et al; Warchol et al.)

1995 First deafness gene Myo7a (Steel et al.)


1997 Connexins (GJB2) (Kelsell et al.)

2000 Prestin (Zheng et al.)





2002 - Ribbon synapse structure and function





2005 - Cochlear supporting cell - hair cell conversion..

Stem cells... (wait!)




Abstract and programme covers become more colourful....






By 2012, the 49th Inner Ear Biology Workshop Tübingen covered a wide range of topics:



So here we are at Alcala, home of Miguel de Cervantes. Could Don Quixote have been thinking about hair cells? Since the first Inner Ear workshop, the cells have grown larger and occupied a more prominent position in our thinking. We are still tilting at them but, still, they are just as mysterious as ever....



What giants?" asked Sancho Panza.

"Those you see over there," replied Don Quixote, "with their long arms. Some of them have limbs well nigh two leagues in length."

With thanks to

Jochen Schact & Angela Meyer zum Gottesberg

for their help and knowledge of IEB

50th

**Inner Ear Biology
Workshop**